

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application N .</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	09/905,114	ZHANG ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b> Quang Nguyen, Ph.D.	<b>Art Unit</b> 1636

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** After-Final

(1) Daniel J. Pereira, Ph.D. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Quang Nguyen. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 27 December 2004

**Time:** Afternoon

**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

*Claim 5*

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Examiner contacted attorney Pereira on 12/27/04 to propose an amendment to claim 5 as it appears in the Examiner's Amendment, so that the application is in condition for allowance. Attorney Pereira accepted the proposed amendment.*

**Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)